

The Ethics of “Media Men” Lists, One Year Later
Kenneth Boyd

Are there characteristics of the “Media Men” list that make it any more morally complicated than a whisper network? Why or why not?

Can you think of any reasons the “Media Men” list might be objectionable other than the possibility of harming an innocent man? Are there other potential benefits of the “Media Men” list apart from protecting women from sexual harassment, sexual assault, and rape?

Imagine that, in response, a group of men make and circulate a list identifying women they believe to be making false accusations on the “Media Men” list. Is this list any different than the “Media Men” list? Is it equally objectionable/unobjectionable? Why or why not?

In the end, do the potential benefits of the “Media Men” list outweigh the potential harms? Do you think that creating, contributing to, and referencing the “Media Men” list is morally justified?